P.T. 188-92

1891-92.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 1941-91

192 .

Serial Nos.

(L. R)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

In ~~Part~~ B for October. 1892

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Venkatasubbayya alias Shamanna and others of Bettadapura, Hunsur taluk, against the Sale of certain Nos. out of Bettadakaval which had been in their enjoyment. —

To,

The, Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No. 5851 S. 26.10.1892.

P.T. 188-92

Informs him that the appeal of the petitioners is rejected as it was received long after the prescribed period.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 .

No. 1379

Name of Petitioners

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arz.

Date of Receipt.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

shed the land ~~without~~
any Compensation,
view to obtain it

the year 1859, the Rajakiral
rint abolished and
ent in a dwindled form it
ere declared to produce
c., Accordingly petitio
ners produced the accou
Amildar, who favoured
with no answer.

ers upon the petitioners
d to the Deputy Comr
the Record Connected
the Case and obtained
rder No 4 66 6 the
d that "as the Govt has
ed in a similar Case
able Rent of Pudatur
d to sell such Lands
blic auction, the peti-
ers may buy the land
ublic Sale, and that
claims which the petitioners
up about 20 years a
ld be of no use.
Petitioners now appeal
Office against the above.
on the following grounds:-
The petitioners gave
up

X 8/24 Dec 90

3.

~~from~~ up the land without receiving any Compensation by the Govt. with a view ~~that~~, to have the land again when the Amalgamation department was abolished.

(2) The Deputy Comrs finding that the petitioners claim is barred, as it is above 12 years, is wrong.

(3) The petitioners are put to great loss by paying Kandayam on waste land.

On the above reasons they pray for the cancellation of Deputy Comrs order of sale and to confer the land in question to them.

17-11-91

1379

Kapil

1

ಸಾಗರದ ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ

ಸಾಗರ
೨೭-೧೨-೧೯೩೭

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ.

ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದು ಬಹಳ ದಿನಗಳಾಯಿತು. ಕಾರಣ ಕೆಲಸದ ಒತ್ತಡ. ಈಗ ಕೆಲಸ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಯಿತು. ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಸಂಘದ ಉದ್ಘಾಟನೆಯಾಯಿತು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷನಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಆಗುವ ಲಾಭಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಪರಿಶುದ್ಧವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಚುರುಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಉನ್ನತ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಗೌರವ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಸ್ವರ್ಗ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಪುನರ್ಜನ್ಮ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನದಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ.

GR. 40

(General Serial No. 32.)

Submitted to the Council
Letter Ref. No. 3739 of 1891

Vide Order on
4621
11/5/92

29/4/92

No. 40

Name of Petitioner. Venkatara
alias Shamanna Gau

Village. Kattedi

Taluk. Hunsu

District. Mysore.

Date of Arzi. 23.8.9

Date of Receipt. 26.10.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

the
in the reference no.
Endorsement No. dated
Amildar Endorsement
and prays that the
Kaval in question be
called and the
which they are
be restored to them.

Amildar Endorsement
dated to
alias Shamanna.

the reference

[redacted]

1379/1991

8

Item

4

1.6.94

Abdulzaalb
17.7.94

1.6.94 R
28-10-91

2

9.

71-3

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No.	212
Name of Petitioner.	Venkatasubbaya... Sumanasa Gopalakrishna
Village.	Kattepalya
Taluk.	Hosur
District.	Salem
Date of Arzi.	28.12.91
Date of Receipt.	31.12.91
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	Two

Attached to the Criminal Petition No. 137 of 1891

92
29/12/92

Inquire from the Honble. Magistrate whether the petitioner's allegations in the petition furnished by the petitioner in connection with the Criminal Petition may be admitted to the press. BO.

92
3/1/92

ABSTRACT.

State that the petition [illegible] Office Endorsement [illegible] 31st December last, and submit [illegible] following explanation [illegible] they did not prefer their [illegible] sent to this office within [illegible] prescribed time

After the receipt of the [illegible] Endorsement [illegible] Ketambayya was prepared to start to present [illegible] in person. On [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

K.

12

No 78 End. issued 13/5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

1379, 1668-1646

The accompanying Petition No. 462 of 10th Feb to 23rd Feb

dated 28th Decr/91 received at the

Dewan's Office from Venkatachariyar alias Munisamma & Govinda Krishnappa of Battadapura Nagamangala Taluk, complaining that certain Kavals out of Battadapura Kaval which had been in their enjoyment

From the papers is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Nagamangala who is requested to enquire whether the explanation of delay furnished by the petitioner is true & worthy of credit connected with the case If so, the proceedings may be submitted with a full report

After the case & in the first letter in the petition

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 13 May 1892

13.

(General ~~Serial No. 30.~~)

3066.

189 2 . 13 SEP. 92

Pet 145

From

The Assistant Commissioner Mysore Districts

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 13th September 1892.

~~Despatched~~

Received

Enclosures No. Two petitions with 1 Enclosure and Census papers.

General No.

No. 544

Submitting the information called for in connection with the petitions of Venkatasubbiyya alias Shamanna and Gopalkrishnayya of Betaraya Hanera Taluk.

Copied

Sent to Council

Dy 25/9/92

Mr. Assistant to the Council

Orders solicited as to whether the appeal should be entertained under the circumstances mentioned in the Despatch report.

22/9/92

Repeat the orders in the letter

18/10/92

16/9/92

No. 544.
Sir: Two petitions
& enclosure
papers. Assistant Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Bagalkot September 3rd

From

A. Ramachandra Iyengar, Esquire B.A., B.L.
Deputy Commissioner.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Bombay.

Sir,

With reference to your Official memo No
78 dated — inclosed, forwarding, for Enquiry
petition of Venkatachalappa alias Shamanna
and Gopalkrishnappa of Bettadapur, I
have the honor to state that the facts appear
ing from the records are to the following
effect.

2. Petitioners enjoyed certain dry lands
in the village of Bevanur near Bettadapur
prior to September 1867, of which an extent
of 2 Khandies, 10 Kalagas and 1½ Manas were
made over to the Inam Mahal Department
as per settlement made by the then Inam
Mahal Officer, Colonel Magrath (Vide copy
of Rajinama dated 6th September 1867).
When the lands were no longer required and
ordered to be given out for cultivation,

petitioners applied for the grant of the land formerly assigned by them, free of sale, which application was refused by the Deputy Commissioner on the ground that their claim was untenable after the lapse of 20 years. This order issued through the Amildar is dated 29th December 1890. The appeal against this order to Government was made on 10th September 1891, i.e. after the lapse of 8 months and 12 days. In explanation of this delay in appeal, petitioner Venkatarabbayya pleaded illness, which the Amildar reports is not worthy of credit, as Venkatarabbayya is said to have gone to Mysore in a Police case in July 1891.

3. A further report will be submitted as regards the facts of the case if ordered.

4. The vernacular proceedings ending with Amildar's report No. 603/624 dated 27th August 1892 together with the petitions received with the memo under reference are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Assistant Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

MM

16

~~To~~

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
October 1892.

Endorsement granted to Venkatachalaiah and Shamanna
Venkatachalaiah and Bettadaiah, Amildars &c
in reply to their Petition Registered No. 3[illegible] dated 23rd September 1892
respectfully.

Petitioner ~~is~~ are informed that their appeal is rejected as it was
presented long after the prescribed limit.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned.

25/10/92

5857.

Pet. 185-92

"By Order,"

Draft. Mrv 26/10/92

Chief Secretary.

Copy to the Dy. Comr., Bangalore with the petition received with his No. 5442 dt. 8.9.92.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.